

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/815,429	Applicant(s) HSIAO ET AL.	
	Examiner A. Dexter Tugbang	Art Unit 3729	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner.

(3) _____

 (2) Dominic M. Kotab, for Applicant(s).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 May 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 18

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


A. DEXTER TUGBANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
The examiner noted that some of the language in each of Claims 1, 3 and 18 was awkwardly worded. The examiner suggested changes to each of these claims to correct the grammar. These changes do not affect the scope of the claimed invention and the applicant(s) have agreed to the changes in the attached Examiner's Amendment, which places the application in condition for allowance.